

L3cture app

If you have access to the L3cture app, connect to Eduroam wifi with your standard university username and password and start it.

If you haven't got a smartphone, or don't want to take part, that's fine.

If you receive any error messages using the app, taking a screenshot and emailing it to me would be very helpful!

Referencing and plagiarism

The mini-project came with a warning about plagiarism.

The mini-project came with a warning about plagiarism.
Plagiarism can occur unintentionally.

The mini-project came with a warning about plagiarism. Plagiarism can occur unintentionally. Making sure you avoid it is important.

The mini-project came with a warning about plagiarism. Plagiarism can occur unintentionally. Making sure you avoid it is important.

To help with the group project, here's more about what counts as plagiarism and how to properly attribute your sources.

Plagiarism

Plagiarism is, according to the Oxford English Dictionary,

“The practice of taking someone else’s work or ideas and passing them off as one’s own.”

Plagiarism is, according to the Oxford English Dictionary,

“The practice of taking someone else’s work or ideas and passing them off as one’s own.”

(Notice that I’ve referenced the source!)

Plagiarism is, according to the Oxford English Dictionary,

“The practice of taking someone else’s work or ideas and passing them off as one’s own.”

(Notice that I’ve referenced the source!)

Even if you don’t intend to mislead,

Plagiarism is, according to the Oxford English Dictionary,

“The practice of taking someone else’s work or ideas and passing them off as one’s own.”

(Notice that I’ve referenced the source!)

Even if you don’t intend to mislead, including work that was originally written by someone else without explicitly saying so counts as plagiarism.

Examples of plagiarism

Consider the following paragraph, taken from the Wikipedia entry on Fermat's Last Theorem.

Consider the following paragraph, taken from the Wikipedia entry on Fermat's Last Theorem.

In number theory, Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture, especially in older texts) states that no three positive integers a , b , and c can satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ for any integer value of n greater than two.

*This theorem was first conjectured by Pierre de Fermat in 1637 in the margin of a copy of *Arithmetica* where he claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin. The first successful proof was released in 1994 by Andrew Wiles, and formally published in 1995, after 358 years of effort by mathematicians."*

Here's a re-write.

Here's a re-write.

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of Arithmetica, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.

Here's a re-write.

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of Arithmetica, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.

This is plagiarism!

Here's a re-write.

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of Arithmetica, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.

This is plagiarism!

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

*Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of *Arithmetica*, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.*

The highlighted pieces are taken, almost word perfect, from the original.

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

*Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of *Arithmetica*, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.*

The highlighted pieces are taken, almost word perfect, from the original. It doesn't help that the ordering of the phrases has been changed,

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

*Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of *Arithmetica*, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.*

The highlighted pieces are taken, almost word perfect, from the original. It doesn't help that the ordering of the phrases has been changed, or that some sentences are slightly different.

Fermat's Last Theorem (sometimes called Fermat's conjecture) is a result of number theory which states that there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy the equation $a^n + b^n = c^n$ if n is an integer greater than two.

*Pierre de Fermat conjectured this result in 1637. He claimed he had a proof that was too large to fit in the margin of a copy of *Arithmetica*, where he had written the conjecture. After 358 years of effort by mathematicians, it was sealed by Andrew Wiles, who formally published a proof 1995.*

The highlighted pieces are taken, almost word perfect, from the original. It doesn't help that the ordering of the phrases has been changed, or that some sentences are slightly different. The overall tone and meaning is the same.

Let's try again.

Let's try again.

Fermat's Last Theorem is a result of number theory. It states that, given a positive integer n greater than two, there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy $a^n + b^n = c^n$. In older books, it is sometimes referred to as Fermat's conjecture.

Originally stated, but not proved, by Pierre de Fermat in 1637, Andrew Wiles formally published a proof 1995, some 358 years later. Interestingly, Fermat claimed he had a proof, but that it was too large to fit in the margin of the book where he had written his conjecture.

Let's try again.

Fermat's Last Theorem is a result of number theory. It states that, given a positive integer n greater than two, there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy $a^n + b^n = c^n$. In older books, it is sometimes referred to as Fermat's conjecture.

Originally stated, but not proved, by Pierre de Fermat in 1637, Andrew Wiles formally published a proof 1995, some 358 years later. Interestingly, Fermat claimed he had a proof, but that it was too large to fit in the margin of the book where he had written his conjecture.

This still plagiarism!

Let's try again.

Fermat's Last Theorem is a result of number theory. It states that, given a positive integer n greater than two, there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy $a^n + b^n = c^n$. In older books, it is sometimes referred to as Fermat's conjecture.

Originally stated, but not proved, by Pierre de Fermat in 1637, Andrew Wiles formally published a proof 1995, some 358 years later. Interestingly, Fermat claimed he had a proof, but that it was too large to fit in the margin of the book where he had written his conjecture.

This still plagiarism! The two paragraphs still contain exactly the same information as in the original case,

Let's try again.

Fermat's Last Theorem is a result of number theory. It states that, given a positive integer n greater than two, there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy $a^n + b^n = c^n$. In older books, it is sometimes referred to as Fermat's conjecture.

Originally stated, but not proved, by Pierre de Fermat in 1637, Andrew Wiles formally published a proof 1995, some 358 years later. Interestingly, Fermat claimed he had a proof, but that it was too large to fit in the margin of the book where he had written his conjecture.

This still plagiarism! The two paragraphs still contain exactly the same information as in the original case, and some of the same phrases are still there.

Let's try again.

Fermat's Last Theorem is a result of number theory. It states that, given a positive integer n greater than two, there are no positive integers a , b , and c which satisfy $a^n + b^n = c^n$. In older books, it is sometimes referred to as Fermat's conjecture.

Originally stated, but not proved, by Pierre de Fermat in 1637, Andrew Wiles formally published a proof 1995, some 358 years later. Interestingly, Fermat claimed he had a proof, but that it was too large to fit in the margin of the book where he had written his conjecture.

This still plagiarism! The two paragraphs still contain exactly the same information as in the original case, and some of the same phrases are still there.

How do we avoid this?

How do we avoid this? Almost always, the key idea is to use more than one source.

How do we avoid this? Almost always, the key idea is to use more than one source. You need to become something of an expert on the subject first

How do we avoid this? Almost always, the key idea is to use more than one source. You need to become something of an expert on the subject first then write an account based on your knowledge.

How do we avoid this? Almost always, the key idea is to use more than one source. You need to become something of an expert on the subject first then write an account based on your knowledge.

You must avoid phrases that appear elsewhere, unless they appear in quotes or are standard constructions.

How do we avoid this? Almost always, the key idea is to use more than one source. You need to become something of an expert on the subject first then write an account based on your knowledge.

You must avoid phrases that appear elsewhere, unless they appear in quotes or are standard constructions.

Here's another go.

In 1637, Pierre de Fermat wrote in the margin of a book “It is impossible to separate a cube into two cubes, or a fourth power into two fourth powers, or in general, any power higher than the second, into two like powers. I have discovered a truly marvellous proof of this, which this margin is too narrow to contain”.¹ In other words, it is impossible to find integers a , b and c satisfying $a^n + b^n = c^n$ whenever n is an integer greater than 2. This statement has become known as *Fermat's Last Theorem*.

Fermat's ‘marvelous proof’ was never uncovered and, remarkably, the theorem remained unproved until Andrew Wiles, using techniques of modern number theory, published a rigorous 150-page proof in May 1995.²

¹http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Fermat's_Last_Theorem

²[http:](http://)

[//wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiles'_proof_of_Fermat's_Last_Theorem](http://wikipedia.org/wiki/Wiles'_proof_of_Fermat's_Last_Theorem)

This is now clearly different.

This is now clearly different. It contains a selection of the facts from the original paragraph, along with information from elsewhere.

This is now clearly different. It contains a selection of the facts from the original paragraph, along with information from elsewhere.

Notice, also, that facts are referenced with their sources.

Referencing

A key part of avoiding unintentional plagiarism is proper referencing of sources.

A key part of avoiding unintentional plagiarism is proper referencing of sources. Note that this isn't sufficient to avoid plagiarism, but is certainly necessary.

A key part of avoiding unintentional plagiarism is proper referencing of sources. Note that this isn't sufficient to avoid plagiarism, but is certainly necessary.

In the Week 4 lab sheet, there were examples of how to cite sources in L^AT_EX.

A key part of avoiding unintentional plagiarism is proper referencing of sources. Note that this isn't sufficient to avoid plagiarism, but is certainly necessary.

In the Week 4 lab sheet, there were examples of how to cite sources in L^AT_EX. In webpages, one usually uses hyperlinks to link directly to the material.

A key part of avoiding unintentional plagiarism is proper referencing of sources. Note that this isn't sufficient to avoid plagiarism, but is certainly necessary.

In the Week 4 lab sheet, there were examples of how to cite sources in L^AT_EX. In webpages, one usually uses hyperlinks to link directly to the material.

For your upcoming group project, it is also a good idea to also have a page of references on your website.

Here are some examples that could feature on a webpage.

Here are some examples that could feature on a webpage.

*After years of baffling mathematicians, Fermat's Last Theorem was **proved by Andrew Wiles** in 1995.*

Here are some examples that could feature on a webpage.

*After years of baffling mathematicians, Fermat's Last Theorem was **proved by Andrew Wiles** in 1995.*

*For more on this, read Simon Singh's book **Fermat's Last Theorem**.*

Here are some examples that could feature on a webpage.

*After years of baffling mathematicians, Fermat's Last Theorem was **proved by Andrew Wiles** in 1995.*

*For more on this, read Simon Singh's book **Fermat's Last Theorem**.*

*The formal definition is found on **Wolfram Mathworld**.*

Here are some examples that could feature on a webpage.

*After years of baffling mathematicians, Fermat's Last Theorem was **proved by Andrew Wiles** in 1995.*

*For more on this, read Simon Singh's book **Fermat's Last Theorem**.*

*The formal definition is found on **Wolfram Mathworld**.*

In addition, you should use phrases like “the following is taken from ...” or simply “(see ...)”.

There is much more advice on referencing, plagiarism, and good practice on the University of Wisconsin's excellent [Writer's Handbook](#).

Plagiarism and computer code

Another place you must avoid plagiarism is in your Python code and web-design.

Another place you must avoid plagiarism is in your Python code and web-design.

The internet is helpful for learning to program.

Another place you must avoid plagiarism is in your Python code and web-design.

The internet is helpful for learning to program. There are websites which help people when they are stuck.

Another place you must avoid plagiarism is in your Python code and web-design.

The internet is helpful for learning to program. There are websites which help people when they are stuck. Also, in web-design it is possible to view the source of webpages.

Python code

It is quite easy for us to recognise Python code which has been copied.

Python code

It is quite easy for us to recognise Python code which has been copied. Often, we can also find the original source.

Python code

It is quite easy for us to recognise Python code which has been copied. Often, we can also find the original source. Claiming such cases are coincidence is unlikely to be convincing.

Python code

It is quite easy for us to recognise Python code which has been copied. Often, we can also find the original source. Claiming such cases are coincidence is unlikely to be convincing.

Sophisticated programs that do not match the general standard of work in the project are likely to cause suspicion

Python code

It is quite easy for us to recognise Python code which has been copied. Often, we can also find the original source. Claiming such cases are coincidence is unlikely to be convincing.

Sophisticated programs that do not match the general standard of work in the project are likely to cause suspicion, so do not ask for help from a friend who is a talented programmer.

HTML/CSS

You should not copy web-design in large chunks from existing sites.

HTML/CSS

You should not copy web-design in large chunks from existing sites. This is violation of copyright in addition to plagiarism.

HTML/CSS

You should not copy web-design in large chunks from existing sites. This is violation of copyright in addition to plagiarism.

It is acceptable to look at source HTML and CSS files to see how things are done and adapt them for your site

HTML/CSS

You should not copy web-design in large chunks from existing sites. This is violation of copyright in addition to plagiarism.

It is acceptable to look at source HTML and CSS files to see how things are done and adapt them for your site, but if large bits of code are required you must credit their origin.

HTML/CSS

You should not copy web-design in large chunks from existing sites. This is violation of copyright in addition to plagiarism.

It is acceptable to look at source HTML and CSS files to see how things are done and adapt them for your site, but if large bits of code are required you must credit their origin.

I will allow any features of the course webpage to be used on your sites.

L3cture question

Scenario 1

You are doing a project about recurrence relations. You visit the Wikipedia page (which has lots of information) and base your work on a re-write of a small selection of the material found there.

Acceptable?

Scenario 1

You are doing a project about recurrence relations. You visit the Wikipedia page (which has lots of information) and base your work on a re-write of a small selection of the material found there.

Acceptable? No!

Scenario 1

You are doing a project about recurrence relations. You visit the Wikipedia page (which has lots of information) and base your work on a re-write of a small selection of the material found there.

Acceptable? No! This project is based on a single source. You must find more information to base your project on.

Scenario 2

You want to write a function which carries out the Newton-Raphson procedure for finding a root. You search the internet and find a script written in C++ which does the job. You change the program line-by-line into Python commands and submit it as part of your project.

Acceptable?

Scenario 2

You want to write a function which carries out the Newton-Raphson procedure for finding a root. You search the internet and find a script written in C++ which does the job. You change the program line-by-line into Python commands and submit it as part of your project.

Acceptable? No!

Scenario 2

You want to write a function which carries out the Newton-Raphson procedure for finding a root. You search the internet and find a script written in C++ which does the job. You change the program line-by-line into Python commands and submit it as part of your project.

Acceptable? No! Your program is still based on someone else's work, so counts a plagiarism.

Scenario 2

You want to write a function which carries out the Newton-Raphson procedure for finding a root. You search the internet and find a script written in C++ which does the job. You change the program line-by-line into Python commands and submit it as part of your project.

Acceptable? No! Your program is still based on someone else's work, so counts a plagiarism. The only way to stop this being an offense is to be completely explicit about where the code originated and what you had done.

Scenario 3

You want to calculate the determinant of a matrix in your Python code. Searching the internet, you find a page explaining that `numpy.linalg.det()` is the command you need. You use the command in your script without comment.

Acceptable?

Scenario 3

You want to calculate the determinant of a matrix in your Python code. Searching the internet, you find a page explaining that `numpy.linalg.det()` is the command you need. You use the command in your script without comment.

Acceptable? Yes!

Scenario 3

You want to calculate the determinant of a matrix in your Python code. Searching the internet, you find a page explaining that `numpy.linalg.det()` is the command you need. You use the command in your script without comment.

Acceptable? Yes! This is good use of the internet to develop programming skills.

Scenario 4

You want to calculate the determinant of a matrix in your Python code. Searching the internet, you find a page explaining that `numpy.linalg.det()` is the command you need. You can't get it to work, so post on a forum for advice. You are shown how to use it, and put the command in your script without comment.

Acceptable?

Scenario 4

You want to calculate the determinant of a matrix in your Python code. Searching the internet, you find a page explaining that `numpy.linalg.det()` is the command you need. You can't get it to work, so post on a forum for advice. You are shown how to use it, and put the command in your script without comment.

Acceptable? No, but almost!

Scenario 4

You want to calculate the determinant of a matrix in your Python code. Searching the internet, you find a page explaining that `numpy.linalg.det()` is the command you need. You can't get it to work, so post on a forum for advice. You are shown how to use it, and put the command in your script without comment.

Acceptable? No, but almost! You need to be careful here. Please ask on the course discussion board instead.

Most likely you will know when you are breaking the rules.

Most likely you will know when you are breaking the rules.
Suspicion is unlikely to occur if you are doing things right!

Most likely you will know when you are breaking the rules.
Suspicion is unlikely to occur if you are doing things right!

If you have any doubts about what you can and can't use, you should contact me.

Working in groups

Aristotle (384BC–322BC)

The whole is more than the sum of its parts.

Metaphysica

For the first project you will work in groups of four.

For the first project you will work in groups of four. The ability to work in a group is a valuable skill that is desired by employers.

Group working: the benefits

Working in groups allows people to discuss and share ideas.

Working in groups allows people to discuss and share ideas. Often, opinions can develop in ways that they wouldn't otherwise.

Working in groups allows people to discuss and share ideas. Often, opinions can develop in ways that they wouldn't otherwise.

Another benefit is in the sharing of knowledge.

Working in groups allows people to discuss and share ideas. Often, opinions can develop in ways that they wouldn't otherwise.

Another benefit is in the sharing of knowledge. Group members can learn from each other,

Working in groups allows people to discuss and share ideas. Often, opinions can develop in ways that they wouldn't otherwise.

Another benefit is in the sharing of knowledge. Group members can learn from each other, and this can again lead to better overall results.

Group working: the hazards

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times.

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times.
Problems can include

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times.
Problems can include

- time consuming or pointless discussion;

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times.
Problems can include

- time consuming or pointless discussion;
- conflicts or disagreements;

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times.
Problems can include

- time consuming or pointless discussion;
- conflicts or disagreements;
- clashes of personalities or styles;

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times.
Problems can include

- time consuming or pointless discussion;
- conflicts or disagreements;
- clashes of personalities or styles;
- offence being taken, possibly where none was intended.

Unfortunately, group working can be difficult at times. Problems can include

- time consuming or pointless discussion;
- conflicts or disagreements;
- clashes of personalities or styles;
- offence being taken, possibly where none was intended.

Often, problems can be traced back to a communication breakdown.

Communicating well

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact.

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact. (Instead, start with 'In my opinion...' or 'I think...'.)

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact. (Instead, start with 'In my opinion...' or 'I think...'.)
- Criticise the idea, not the person.

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact. (Instead, start with 'In my opinion...' or 'I think...'.)
- Criticise the idea, not the person. ('I'm not sure I agree with that' rather than 'You're wrong!'.)

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact. (Instead, start with 'In my opinion...' or 'I think...'.)
- Criticise the idea, not the person. ('I'm not sure I agree with that' rather than 'You're wrong!'.)
- Be patient!

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact. (Instead, start with 'In my opinion...' or 'I think...'.)
- Criticise the idea, not the person. ('I'm not sure I agree with that' rather than 'You're wrong!'.)
- Be patient!

Remember, everybody's brain is different.

Good communication is key to a group working successfully.
Some tips are

- Engage with the arguments others are making.
- Ask questions, seek clarification and follow all ideas being discussed.
- Don't express an opinion as a fact. (Instead, start with 'In my opinion...' or 'I think...'.)
- Criticise the idea, not the person. ('I'm not sure I agree with that' rather than 'You're wrong!'.)
- Be patient!

Remember, everybody's brain is different. You will find some people easier to communicate with than others.

Email communication

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!!

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
 - Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
 - If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly
-

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around. ('Please can you re-write that paragraph as we agreed')

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around. ('Please can you re-write that paragraph as we agreed' rather than 'Would you be able to re-write that paragraph?'.)

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around. ('Please can you re-write that paragraph as we agreed' rather than 'Would you be able to re-write that paragraph?'.)
This helps to avoid misunderstandings.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around. ('Please can you re-write that paragraph as we agreed' rather than 'Would you be able to re-write that paragraph?'.)
This helps to avoid misunderstandings.
- Don't send messages when angry.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around. ('Please can you re-write that paragraph as we agreed' rather than 'Would you be able to re-write that paragraph?'.)
This helps to avoid misunderstandings.
- Don't send messages when angry. Wait until you've calmed down.

A big source of communication problem comes from online and email messaging. Because facial expressions and tone of voice are lost, it is easy to cause offence.

- NEVER TYPE IN CAPITALS!!! It looks like shouting.
- Soften your statements where possible (using 'In my opinion...' etc)
- If you are asking someone to do something, ask directly but be careful not to boss people around. ('Please can you re-write that paragraph as we agreed' rather than 'Would you be able to re-write that paragraph?'.)
This helps to avoid misunderstandings.
- Don't send messages when angry. Wait until you've calmed down.
- For serious disagreements, try to meet face to face instead.

Dysfunctional groups

Even with the above advice, it is quite likely that your group won't work perfectly smoothly.

Even with the above advice, it is quite likely that your group won't work perfectly smoothly. It is a shame when this happens, but learning from how to deal it is likely to help you in the future.

Even with the above advice, it is quite likely that your group won't work perfectly smoothly. It is a shame when this happens, but learning from how to deal it is likely to help you in the future.

In most workplaces people have some uncooperative colleagues.

Group Project 1

The first group project will be launched in the Week 12 presentation lecture.

The first group project will be launched in the Week 12 presentation lecture.

You will begin the project with discussions in your groups, so it is important to attend. Non-attendance will be chased up.

The first group project will be launched in the Week 12 presentation lecture.

You will begin the project with discussions in your groups, so it is important to attend. Non-attendance will be chased up.

More advice will be given next week.

About Computer Lab 10

In Computer Lab 10 we will look at how spreadsheets can help with mathematical investigations.